



## On Subclasses Of Uniformly Bazilevic Type Functions Using New Generalized Derivative Operator

Amer A. A.<sup>1</sup>, Alabbar N. M.<sup>2</sup>, Hasek A. M.<sup>3</sup> and Alshbear R. A.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,3,4</sup> Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science -Al-Khomus, Al-Margib University

<sup>2</sup> Mathematics Department, Faculty of Education of Benghazi, University of Benghazi

**Abstract**— In this work, the authors defined a certain classes of Bazilevic functions using generalized derivative operator. Having the analytic function, we discuss here some conditions for  $f$  to be starlike of order  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Several other results.

**Keywords:** unit disk, analytic functions, derivative operator.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions  $f$  of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

Let be given two functions  $f, g \in A$ ,  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  and

$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ , ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ), then their Hadamard product  $f(z) * g(z)$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

For several functions  $f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z) \in A$ , we can write in the form



$$f_1(z) * \dots * f_m(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_{1k} \dots a_{mk}) z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Let,  $(x)_k$  denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(x)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k = 0, \\ x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+k-1) & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

The authors in [14] have recently introduced a new generalized derivative operator  $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)$  as the following:

**Definition 1.1**

For  $f \in A$  the operator  $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)$  is defined by  $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n): A \rightarrow A$  and let

$$\phi(z) := \frac{1+l-\lambda_1}{1+l} \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{\lambda_1}{1+l} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2},$$

and

$$F_1(z) = \underbrace{\phi(z) * \dots * \phi(z)}_{(m-1)\text{-times}} * \left[ \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} \right].$$

Let also

$$\psi(z) := (1-\lambda_2) \frac{z}{1-z} + \lambda_2 \frac{z}{(1-z)^2},$$

and

$$\psi(z) * \psi^{-1}(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

let

$$F_2(z) = \underbrace{\psi^{-1}(z) * \dots * \psi^{-1}(z)}_{(n)\text{-times}} * f(z).$$

Thus we have

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z) = F_1(z) * F_2(z)$$

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda_1(k-1)+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2(k-1))^m} c(n, k) a_k z^k, \tag{2}$$



where

$$n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\},$$

and

$$\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, l \geq 0, c(n, k) = \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}}.$$

Special cases of this operator includes:

- the Ruscheweyh derivative operator [1] in the cases:

$$I^1(\lambda_1, 0, l, n) \equiv I^1(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv I^1(0, 0, l, n) \equiv I^0(0, \lambda_2, 0, n)$$

$$\equiv I^0(0, 0, 0, n) \equiv I^{m+1}(0, 0, l, n) \equiv I^{m+1}(0, 0, 0, n) \equiv R^n,$$

- the  $S\hat{a}l\hat{a}$  gean derivative operator [2]:

$$I^{m+1}(1, 0, 0, 0) \equiv S^n,$$

- the generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator [3]:

$$I^2(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv R_{\lambda}^n,$$

- the generalized  $S\hat{a}l\hat{a}$  gean derivative operator introduced by Al-Oboudi [4]:

$$I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, 0, 0, 0) \equiv S_{\beta}^n,$$

- the generalized Al-Shaqsi and Darus derivative operator[5]:

$$I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv D_{\lambda, \beta}^n,$$

- the Al-Abbadi and Darus generalized derivative operator [6]:

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, n) \equiv \mu_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{n, m},$$

and finally

- the Catas derivative operator [7]:

$$I^m(\lambda_1, 0, l, n) \equiv I^m(\lambda, \beta, l).$$

Using simple computation one obtains the next result.

$$(l+1)I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z) = (1+l-\lambda_1)[I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)*\varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l)(z)]f(z) +$$

$$\lambda_1 z [(I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)*\varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l)(z))'],$$

where  $(z \in \mathbb{U})$  and  $\varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l)(z)$  analytic function given by

$$\varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_2(k-1))} z^k.$$

Many other work on analytic functions related to derivative operator and integral operator can be read in [15,16,17,18]. There are times, functions are associated with linear



operators and create new classes (see for example [9]). Many results are considered with numerous properties are solved and obtained.

**Definition 1.2**

A function  $f$  belonging to  $A$  is said to be in the class  $S(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  if it satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

for some  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ .

**Definition 1.3**

A function  $f$  belonging to  $A$  is said to be in the class  $C(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  if it satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

for some  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ .

We note that  $f \in C(\alpha)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S(\alpha)$ .

**Definition 1.4**

In [8], for functions  $f \in A$  such that  $\nu > 0$ ,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^\nu \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, \nu > 0),$$

a class of Bazilevic type functions  $B^\nu$  was considered and certain properties were studied.

**Definition 1.5**

In [9], for functions  $f \in A$  such that  $\nu > 0$ ,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^\nu - \alpha \right\} \geq M \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^\nu - 1 \right|, \forall \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, M \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1),$$

a class M-uniformly Bazilevic type functions  $UB_M^\nu(\alpha)$  was considered and studied.

Note that  $\nu = 0$  gives the subclass M-uniformly starlike  $US(\alpha)$

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq M \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$



Now we define a subclass  $UB_M^{v,m}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \alpha)$  involving our new generalized derivative operator (2) as follows:

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z [I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)]'}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)} \left( \frac{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)}{z} \right)^v - \alpha \right\} \\ \geq M \left| \frac{z [I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)]'}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)} \left( \frac{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)}{z} \right)^v - 1 \right|, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We see that

$$UB_M^{v,1}(\lambda_1, 0, l, 0, \alpha) \equiv UB_M^v(\alpha),$$

$$UB_M^{0,1}(\lambda_1, 0, l, n, \alpha) \equiv R_n(\alpha, M),$$

see [10, 11].

Also, we have

$$UB_M^{0,1}(\lambda_1, 0, l, n, 0) \equiv R_n(0, M),$$

see [12, 13].

## 1 Coefficient Bounds

**Theorem 2.1** A sufficient condition for a function  $f$  of the form (1) to be in the class  $UB_M^{v,m}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \alpha)$  is

$$\left[ \frac{1+M}{1-\alpha} \right] \left[ \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) |a_k| \right)^v + 1 \right] \leq 1,$$

where

$$B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) := \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k-1) + l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2(k-1))^m} c(n, k),$$

for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, l \geq 0$ .

**Proof:**

It suffices to show that

$$M \left| \frac{z [I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)]'}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)} \left( \frac{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)}{z} \right)^v - 1 \right|$$



$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{z [I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)]'}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)} \left( \frac{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)}{z} \right)^v - \alpha \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Let  $z \rightarrow 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & M \left| \frac{z [I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)]'}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)} \left( \frac{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)}{z} \right)^v - 1 \right| \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{z [I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)]'}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)} \left( \frac{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)}{z} \right)^v - \alpha \right\} \\ & \leq (1+M) \left| \frac{z (I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z))'}{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)} \left( \frac{I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z)}{z} \right)^v - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1+M) \left| (I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z))' (I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) f(z))^{v-1} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1+M) \left| \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) a_k \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) a_k \right)^{v-1} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1+M) \left| \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) a_k \right)^v - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1+M) \left| \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) |a_k| \right)^v + 1 \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by  $1 - \alpha$  if

$$\left[ \frac{1+M}{1-\alpha} \right] \left[ \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) |a_k| \right)^v + 1 \right] \leq 1,$$

where

$$B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) := \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k-1) + l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1} (1 + \lambda_2(k-1))^m} c(n, k).$$

This ends the proof.

Next, we find the coefficient bounds for the class



$$NUB_M^{v,m}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \alpha) := UB_M^{v,m}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \alpha) \cap N,$$

where  $N$  is the class of analytic functions takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2** A sufficient condition for a function

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

to be in the class  $NUB_M^{v,m}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n, \alpha)$  is

$$\left[ \frac{1+M}{1-\alpha} \right] \left[ \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) b_k \right)^v + 1 \right] \leq 1,$$

where

$$B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) := \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k-1) + l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1} (1 + \lambda_2(k-1))^m} c(n, k),$$

for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, l \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} (1+M) & \left| \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) b_k \right) \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) b_k \right)^{v-1} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1+M) \left| \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) b_k \right)^v - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1+M) \left[ \left| \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) b_k \right)^v \right| + 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by  $1 - \alpha$  if

$$\left[ \frac{1+M}{1-\alpha} \right] \left[ \left| \left( 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) b_k \right)^v \right| + 1 \right] \leq 1,$$

where



$$B_k^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n) := \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k-1) + l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2(k-1))^m} c(n, k).$$

This ends the proof.

### CONCLUSION

The main impact of this research work is to motivate to construct new classes *Bazilevic* functions belonging the disk  $U$  and study their various properties.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Ruscheweyh. St, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 49(1975),109-115.
- [2]  $S_{\hat{a}} \hat{l} \hat{a}$  gean. G. S, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag), 1013 (1983), 362-372.
- [3] Al-Shaqsi. K and Darus.M, An operator defined by convolution involving polylogarithms functions, J. Math. Stat, 4(1), (2008), 46-50.
- [4] AL-Oboudi. F.M, On univalent functions defined by a generalised  $S_{\hat{a}} \hat{l} \hat{a}$  gean Operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- [5] Al-Shaqsi. K and Darus.M, Differential Subordination with generalised derivative operator, Int. J. Comp. Math. Sci, 2(2),(2008), 75--78.
- [6] Al-Abbadi. M. H and Darus.M, Differential Subordination for new generalised derivative operator, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 20(2009),265--280 .
- [7] Catas. A , On a Certain Differential Sandwich Theorem Associated with a New Generalized Derivative Operator, General Mathematics, 4 (2009), 83-95.
- [8] Bazilevic. I. E, On a case of integrability in quadratures of the Loewner-Kufarev equation, Mat. Sb, 37(1955), 471-476.
- [9] Ibrahim .R and Darus. M , On subclasses of uniformly bazilevic type functions using new generalized derivative operator, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences ,33(2009).
- [10] Ahuja .O .P, Integral operators of certain univalent functions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci, 8(1985), 653-662.





- [11] Ahuja. O .P, On the generalised Ruscheweyh class of analytic functions of complex order, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc, 47(1993), 247-257.
- [12] Shams.S and. Kulkarni . S. R, On a class of univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, Kyungpook Math. J, 43(2003), 579-585.
- [13] Rosy. T, Subramanian. K..G and Murugusundaramoorthy.G, Neighbourhoods and partial sums of starlike functions based on Ruscheweyh derivatives, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. Artical 64, 4(4) (2003),1-8.
- [14] Amer. A.A and Darus. M , On some properties for new generalized derivative operator, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS), 4(2) (2011), 91-101.
- [15] Amer. A.A , Second Hankel Determinant for New Subclass Defined by a Linear Operator, Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016, Chapter 6 .
- [16] Amer. A.A , Properties of Generalized Derivative Operator to A Certain Subclass of Analytic Functions with Negative Coefficients, ، المجلة الليبية العالمية ، جامعة بنغازي، كلية التربية، 2017
- [17] Amer. A.A , Alshbear .R.A & Alabbar .N . M ,Some Applications Of A Linear Operator To A Certain Subclasses Of Analytic Functions With Negative Coefficients. Journal of Education University Al-Khomus, Al-Margib University 10(2017).441-454.
- [18] Amer. A.A and Darus .M, Some properties for a linear operator, AIP Conference Proceedings 1522, 908 (2013).